

1<sup>1/2</sup>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20<sup>1/2</sup>

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39<sup>1/2</sup>

40

## R-GROUPS AND PARAMETERS

DUBRAVKA BAN AND DAVID GOLDBERG

Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic group,  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ ,  $\mathrm{O}_{2n}$  or  $U_n$ . Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of a Levi subgroup of  $G$ . We prove the conjecture that the Knapp–Stein  $R$ -group of  $\pi$  and the Arthur  $R$ -group of  $\pi$  are isomorphic. Several instances of the conjecture were established earlier: for archimedean groups by Shelstad; for principal series representations by Keys; for  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$  by Ban and Zhang; and for  $G = \mathrm{SO}_n$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  in the case when  $\pi$  is supercuspidal, under an assumption on the parameter, by Goldberg.

### 1. Introduction

Central to representation theory of reductive groups over local fields is the study of parabolically induced representations. In order to classify the tempered spectrum of such a group, one must understand the structure of parabolically induced from discrete series representations, in terms of components, multiplicities, and whether or not components are elliptic. The Knapp–Stein  $R$ -group gives an explicit combinatorial method for conducting this study. On the other hand, the local Langlands conjecture predicts the parametrization of such nondiscrete tempered representations, in  $L$ -packets, by admissible homomorphisms of the Weil–Deligne group which factor through a Levi component of the Langlands dual group. Arthur [1989] gave a conjectural description of the Knapp–Stein  $R$ -group in terms of the parameter. This conjecture generalizes results of Shelstad [1982] for archimedean groups, as well as those of Keys [1987] in the case of unitary principal series of certain  $p$ -adic groups. In [Ban and Zhang 2005] this conjecture was established for odd special orthogonal groups. In [Goldberg 2011] the conjecture was established for induced from supercuspidal representations of split special orthogonal or symplectic groups, under an assumption on the parameter. In the current work, we complete the conjecture for the full tempered spectrum of all these groups.

Dubravka Ban was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0601005 and by a Research Fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

MSC2010: 11F70, 22E35, 22E50.

Keywords:  $R$ -groups, reducibility of induced representations, classical groups.

1 Let  $F$  be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero. We denote by  $\mathbf{G}$  a  
 1<sup>1/2</sup> 2 connected reductive quasi-split algebraic group defined over  $F$ . We let  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ ,  
 3 and use similar notation for other groups defined over  $F$ . Fix a maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  
 4  $\mathbf{G}$ , and a Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$  containing  $\mathbf{T}$ . We let  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  be the equivalence  
 5 classes of irreducible admissible representations of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$  the tempered classes,  
 6  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)$  the discrete series, and  ${}^\circ\mathcal{E}(G)$  the irreducible unitary supercuspidal classes.  
 7 We make no distinction between a representation  $\pi$  and its equivalence class.

8 Let  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}$  be a standard, with respect to  $\mathbf{B}$ , parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let  
 9  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_M$  be the split component of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and let  $W = W(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) = N_G(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{M}$  be the  
 10 Weyl group for this situation. For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(M)$  we let  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$  be the representation  
 11 unitarily induced from  $\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_N$ . Thus, if  $V$  is the space of  $\sigma$ , we let

$$12 \quad V(\sigma) = \{f \in C^\infty(G, V) \mid f(mng) = \delta_P(m)^{1/2} f(g) \text{ for all } m \in M, n \in N, g \in G\},$$

13  
 14 with  $\delta_P$  the modulus character of  $P$ . The action of  $G$  is by the right regular rep-  
 15 resentation, so  $(\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)(x)f)(g) = f(gx)$ . Then any  $\pi \in \mathcal{E}_t(G)$  is an irreducible  
 16 component of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$  for some choice of  $M$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ . In order to deter-  
 17 mine the component structure of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ , Knapp and Stein, in the archimedean  
 18 case, and Harish-Chandra in the  $p$ -adic case, developed the theory of singular  
 19 integral intertwining operators, leading to the theory of  $R$ -groups, due to Knapp  
 20 and Stein [1971] in the archimedean case and Silberger [1978; 1979] in the  $p$ -adic  
 20<sup>1/2</sup> 21 case. We describe this briefly and refer the reader to the introduction of [Goldberg  
 22 1994] for more details. The poles of the intertwining operators give rise to the  
 23 zeros of Plancherel measures. Let  $\Phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{A})$  be the reduced roots of  $\mathbf{A}$  in  $\mathbf{P}$ . For  
 24  $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{A})$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$  we let  $\mu_\alpha(\sigma)$  be the rank one Plancherel measure  
 25 associated to  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$ . We let  $\Delta' = \{\alpha \in \Phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{A}) \mid \mu_\alpha(\sigma) = 0\}$ . For  $w \in W$  and  
 26  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$  we let  $w\sigma(m) = \sigma(w^{-1}m\sigma)$ . (Note, we make no distinction between  
 27  $w \in W$  and its representative in  $N_G(\mathbf{A})$ .) We let

$$28 \quad W(\sigma) = \{w \in W \mid w\sigma \simeq \sigma\},$$

29  
 30 and let  $W'$  be the subgroup of  $W(\sigma)$  generated by those  $w_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta'$ . We let  
 31  $R(\sigma) = \{w \in W(\sigma) \mid w\Delta' = \Delta'\} = \{w \in W(\sigma) \mid w\alpha > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta'\}$ . Let  
 32  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma) = \text{End}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma))$ .

33 **Theorem 1** [Knapp and Stein 1971; Silberger 1978; 1979]. *For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ ,  
 34 we have  $W(\sigma) = R(\sigma) \times W'$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{C}[R(\sigma)]_\eta$ , the group algebra of  $R(\sigma)$   
 35 twisted by a certain 2-cocycle  $\eta$ .*  
 36

37 Thus  $R(\sigma)$ , along with  $\eta$ , determines how many inequivalent components appear  
 38 in  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$  and the multiplicity with which each one appears. Furthermore Arthur  
 39 shows  $\mathbb{C}[R(\sigma)]_\eta$  also determines whether or not components of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$  are elliptic  
 40 (and hence whether or not they contribute to the Plancherel formula) [Arthur 1993].

1 Arthur [1989] conjectured a construction of  $R(\sigma)$  in terms of the local Langlands  
 1<sup>1/2</sup> 2 conjecture. Let  $W_F$  be the Weil group of  $F$  and  $W'_F = W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  the Weil–  
 3 Deligne group. Suppose  $\psi : W'_F \rightarrow {}^L M$  parametrizes the  $L$ -packet,  $\Pi_\psi(M)$ , of  
 4  $M$  containing  $\sigma$ . Here  ${}^L M = \hat{M} \rtimes W_F$  is the Langlands  $L$ -group, and  $\hat{M}$  is the  
 5 complex group whose root datum is dual to that of  $M$ . Then

$$\psi : W'_F \rightarrow {}^L M \hookrightarrow {}^L G$$

6  
 7  
 8 must be a parameter for an  $L$ -packet  $\Pi_\psi(G)$  of  $G$ . The expectation is that  $\Pi_\psi(G)$   
 9 consists of all irreducible components of  $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma')$  for all  $\sigma' \in \Pi_\psi(M)$ . We let  
 10  $S_\psi = Z_{\hat{G}}(\mathrm{Im} \psi)$ , and take  $S_\psi^\circ$  to be the connected component of the identity. Let  
 11  $T_\psi$  be a maximal torus in  $S_\psi^\circ$ . Set  $W_\psi = W(S_\psi, T_\psi)$ , and  $W_\psi^\circ = W(S_\psi^\circ, T_\psi)$ .  
 12 Then  $R_\psi = W_\psi / W_\psi^\circ$  is called the  $R$ -group of the packet  $\Pi_\psi(G)$ . By duality we  
 13 can identify  $W_\psi$  with a subgroup of  $W$ . With this identification, we let  $W_{\psi, \sigma} =$   
 14  $W_\psi \cap W(\sigma)$  and  $W_{\psi, \sigma}^\circ = W_\psi^\circ \cap W(\sigma)$ . We then set

$$R_{\psi, \sigma} = W_{\psi, \sigma} / W_{\psi, \sigma}^\circ.$$

15  
 16  
 17 We call  $R_{\psi, \sigma}$  the Arthur  $R$ -group attached to  $\psi$  and  $\sigma$ .

18 **Conjecture 2.** *For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ , we have  $R(\sigma) \simeq R_{\psi, \sigma}$ .*

19  
 20 In [Ban and Zhang 2005], the first named author and Zhang proved this con-  
 20<sup>1/2</sup> 21 jecture in the case  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ . In [Goldberg 2011] the second named author  
 22 confirmed the conjecture when  $\sigma$  is supercuspidal, and  $G = \mathrm{SO}_n$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ , with a  
 23 mild assumption on the parameter  $\psi$ . Here, we complete the proof of the conjecture  
 24 for  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ , or  $O_n$ , under assumptions given in Section 2.3.

25 This work is based on the classification of discrete series for classical  $p$ -adic  
 26 groups of Mœglin and Tadić [2002], and on the results of Mœglin [2002; 2007b].  
 27 Subsequent to our submission, Arthur’s unfinished book has become available in  
 28 preprint form [Arthur 2011]. In this long awaited and impressive work, he uses  
 29 the trace formula to classify the automorphic representations of special orthogonal  
 30 and symplectic groups in terms of those of  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ . An important ingredient in  
 31 this work is a formulation of the classification at the local places. The results for  
 32 irreducible tempered representations are obtained from the classification of discrete  
 33 series using  $R$ -groups. Our result on isomorphism of  $R$ -groups and their dual  
 34 version for  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$  (see Theorem 7) also appear in Arthur’s  
 35 work [2011, page 346]. Arthur’s proof differs significantly from the one we use  
 36 here. We work with a rather concrete description of parameters based on Jordan  
 37 blocks and  $L$ -functions, while Arthur works in the general context of his theory.

38 We now describe the contents of the paper in more detail. In Section 2 we  
 39 introduce our notation and discuss the classification of  $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$  for our groups, due to  
 39<sup>1/2</sup> 40 Mœglin and Tadić, as well as preliminaries on Knapp–Stein and Arthur  $R$ -groups.

1 In Section 3 we consider the parameters  $\psi$  and compute their centralizers. In  
 1<sup>1/2</sup> 2 Section 4 we turn to the case of  $G = O_{2n}$ . Here we show the Arthur  $R$ -group agrees  
 3 with the generalization of the Knapp–Stein  $R$ -group as discussed in [Goldberg and  
 4 Herb 1997]. In Section 5 we complete the proof of the theorem for the induced  
 5 from discrete series representations of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ , or  $O_{2n}$ .  
 6 In Section 6, we study  $R$ -groups for unitary groups. These groups are interesting  
 7 for us because they are not split and the action of the Weil group on the dual group  
 8 is nontrivial. In addition, the classification of discrete series and description of  
 9  $L$ -parameters is completed [Mœglin 2007b].  
 10 The techniques used here can be used for other groups. In particular we should  
 11 be able to carry out this process for similitude groups and  $G_2$ . Furthermore, the  
 12 techniques of computing the Arthur  $R$ -groups will apply to  $GSpin$  groups, as well,  
 13 and may shed light on the Knapp–Stein  $R$ -groups in this case. We leave all of this  
 14 for future work.

## 2. Preliminaries

17 **2.1. Notation.** Let  $F$  be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let  
 18  $G_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , be  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $\mathrm{SO}(2n, F)$ . We define  $G_0$  to be the  
 19 trivial group. For  $G = G_n$  or  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , fix the minimal parabolic subgroup  
 20<sup>1/2</sup> 20 consisting of all upper triangular matrices in  $G$  and the maximal torus consisting  
 21 of all diagonal matrices in  $G$ . If  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are smooth representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(m, F)$ ,  
 22  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ , respectively, we define

$$\delta_1 \times \delta_2 = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2)$$

25 where  $G = \mathrm{GL}(m+n, F)$  and  $P = MU$  is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$   
 26 with Levi factor  $M \cong \mathrm{GL}(m, F) \times \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ . Similarly, if  $\delta$  is a smooth represen-  
 27 tation of  $\mathrm{GL}(m, F)$  and  $\sigma$  is a smooth representation of  $G_n$ , we define

$$\delta \rtimes \sigma = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{G_{m+n}}(\delta \otimes \sigma)$$

30 where  $P = MU$  is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G_{m+n}$  with Levi factor  $M \cong$   
 31  $\mathrm{GL}(m, F) \times G_n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_2(G)$  the set of equivalence classes of irreducible  
 32 square integrable representations of  $G$  and by  ${}^0\mathcal{E}(G)$  the set of equivalence classes  
 33 of irreducible unitary supercuspidal representations of  $G$ .

34 We say that a homomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$  is symplectic (respectively,  
 35 orthogonal) if  $h$  fixes an alternating form (respectively, a symmetric form) on  
 36  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ . We denote by  $S_a$  the standard  $a$ -dimensional irreducible algebraic rep-  
 37 resentation of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$39^{1/2} \text{ (1)} \quad S_a \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{orthogonal} & \text{for } a \text{ odd,} \\ \text{symplectic} & \text{for } a \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

1 Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible supercuspidal unitary representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(d, F)$ . Ac-  
 2 cording to the local Langlands correspondence for  $\mathrm{GL}_d$  [Harris and Taylor 2001;  
 3 Henniart 2000], attached to  $\rho$  is an  $L$ -parameter  $\varphi : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ . Suppose  
 4  $\rho \cong \tilde{\rho}$ . Then  $\varphi \cong \tilde{\varphi}$  and one of the Artin  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \varphi)$  or  $L(s, \wedge^2 \varphi)$  has  
 5 a pole. The  $L$ -function  $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \varphi)$  has a pole if and only if  $\varphi$  is orthogonal. The  
 6  $L$ -function  $L(s, \wedge^2 \varphi)$  has a pole if and only if  $\varphi$  is symplectic. From [Henniart  
 7 2010] we know

$$8 \quad (2) \quad L(s, \wedge^2 \varphi) = L(s, \rho, \wedge^2), \text{ and } L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \varphi) = L(s, \rho, \mathrm{Sym}^2),$$

9  
 10 where  $L(s, \rho, \wedge^2)$  and  $L(s, \rho, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$  are the Langlands  $L$ -functions as defined in  
 11 [Shahidi 1981].

12 Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible supercuspidal unitary representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(d, F)$  and  
 13  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We define  $\delta(\rho, a)$  to be the unique irreducible subrepresentation of

$$14 \quad \rho \parallel^{(a-1)/2} \times \rho \parallel^{(a-3)/2} \times \dots \times \rho \parallel^{-(a-1)/2};$$

15  
 16 see [Zelevinsky 1980].

17  
 18 **2.2. Jordan blocks.** We now review the definition of Jordan blocks from [Mœglin  
 19 and Tadić 2002]. Let  $G$  be  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ . For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  
 20  $r_d$  denote the standard representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ . Define

$$21 \quad R_d = \begin{cases} \wedge^2 r_d & \text{for } G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, F), O(2n, F), \\ \mathrm{Sym}^2 r_d & \text{for } G = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F). \end{cases}$$

22  
 23 Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of  $G_n$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{Jord}(\sigma)$   
 24 the set of pairs  $(\rho, a)$ , where  $\rho \in {}^0\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}(d_\rho, F))$ ,  $\rho \cong \tilde{\rho}$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , such that

25  
 26 (J-1)  $a$  is even if  $L(s, \rho, R_{d_\rho})$  has a pole at  $s = 0$  and odd otherwise,

27 (J-2)  $\delta(\rho, a) \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible.

28  
 29 For  $\rho \in {}^0\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}(d_\rho, F))$ ,  $\rho \cong \tilde{\rho}$ , define

$$30 \quad \mathrm{Jord}_\rho(\sigma) = \{a \mid (\rho, a) \in \mathrm{Jord}(\sigma)\}.$$

31  
 32 Let  $\hat{G}$  denote the complex dual group of  $G$ . Then  $\hat{G} = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$  for  
 33  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\hat{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  for  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  and  $\hat{G} = O(2n, \mathbb{C})$  for  
 34  $G = O(2n, F)$ .

35 **Lemma 3.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of  $G_n$ . Let  $\rho$  be*  
 36 *an irreducible supercuspidal self-dual representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(d_\rho, F)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*  
 37 *Then  $(\rho, a) \in \mathrm{Jord}(\sigma)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

38  
 39 (J-1')  $\rho \otimes S_a$  is of the same type as  $\hat{G}$ ,

40 (J-2)  $\delta(\rho, a) \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible.

39<sup>1/2</sup>

1 *Proof.* We will prove that (J-1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (J-1'). We know from [Shahidi 1990] that one  
 2 and only one of the two  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \rho, \wedge^2)$  and  $L(s, \rho, \text{Sym}^2)$  has a pole at  
 3  $s = 0$ . Suppose  $G = \text{Sp}(2n, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ . We consider  $L(s, \rho, \wedge^2)$ . It has  
 4 a pole at  $s = 0$  if and only if the parameter  $\rho : W_F \rightarrow \text{GL}(d_\rho, \mathbb{C})$  is symplectic.  
 5 According to (1), this is equivalent to  $\rho \otimes S_a$  being orthogonal for  $a$  even. Therefore,  
 6 for  $(\rho, a) \in \text{Jord}(\sigma)$ ,  $a$  is even if and only if  $\rho \otimes S_a$  is orthogonal. For  $G =$   
 7  $\text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$ , the arguments are similar.  $\square$

8  
 9 **2.3. Assumptions.** In this paper, we use the classification of discrete series for  
 10 classical  $p$ -adic groups of Mœglin and Tadić [Mœglin and Tadić 2002], so we  
 11 have to make the same assumptions as there. Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible supercuspidal  
 12 representation of  $G_n$  and let  $\rho$  be an irreducible self-dual supercuspidal represen-  
 13 tation of a general linear group. We make the following assumption:

14 (BA)  $v^{\pm(a+1)/2} \rho \rtimes \sigma$  reduces for

$$16 \quad a = \begin{cases} \max \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma) & \text{if } \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } L(s, \rho, R_{d_\rho}) \text{ has a pole at } s = 0 \text{ and } \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma) = \emptyset, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

20 Moreover, there are no other reducibility points in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

21 In addition, we assume that the  $L$ -parameter of  $\sigma$  is given by

$$23 \quad (3) \quad \varphi_\sigma = \bigoplus_{(\rho, a) \in \text{Jord}(\sigma)} \varphi_\rho \otimes S_a.$$

26 Here,  $\varphi_\rho$  denotes the  $L$ -parameter of  $\rho$  given in [Harris and Taylor 2001; Henniart  
 27 2000].

28 Mœglin [2007a], assuming certain Fundamental Lemmas, proved the validity  
 29 of the assumptions for  $\text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$  and showed how Arthur's results imply the  
 30 Langlands classification of discrete series for  $\text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$ .

31 **2.4. The Arthur  $R$ -group.** Let  ${}^L G = \hat{G} \rtimes W_F$  be the  $L$ -group of  $G$ , and suppose  
 32  ${}^L M$  is the  $L$ -group of a Levi subgroup,  $M$ , of  $G$ . Then  ${}^L M$  is a Levi subgroup of  
 33  ${}^L G$  (see [Borel 1979, Section 3] for the definition of parabolic subgroups and Levi  
 34 subgroups of  ${}^L G$ ). Suppose  $\psi$  is an  $A$ -parameter of  $G$  which factors through  ${}^L M$ ,  
 35

$$36 \quad \psi : W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow {}^L M \subset {}^L G.$$

38 Then we can regard  $\psi$  as an  $A$ -parameter of  $M$ . Suppose, in addition, the image  
 39 of  $\psi$  is not contained in a smaller Levi subgroup (i.e.,  $\psi$  is an elliptic parameter  
 40 of  $M$ ).

1 Let  $S_\psi$  be the centralizer in  $\hat{G}$  of the image of  $\psi$  and  $S_\psi^0$  its identity component.

2 If  $T_\psi$  is a maximal torus of  $S_\psi^0$ , define

$$3 \quad W_\psi = N_{S_\psi}(T_\psi)/Z_{S_\psi}(T_\psi), \quad W_\psi^0 = N_{S_\psi^0}(T_\psi)/Z_{S_\psi^0}(T_\psi), \quad R_\psi = W_\psi/W_\psi^0.$$

5 Lemma 2.3 of [Ban and Zhang 2005] and the discussion on page 326 of [Ban and  
6 Zhang 2005] imply that  $W_\psi$  can be identified with a subgroup of  $W(G, A)$ .

7 Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $M$ . Assume  $\sigma$  belongs to the  
8 A-packet  $\Pi_\psi(M)$ . If  $W(\sigma) = \{w \in W(G, A) \mid w\sigma \cong \sigma\}$ , we let

$$9 \quad W_{\psi, \sigma} = W_\psi \cap W(\sigma), \quad W_{\psi, \sigma}^0 = W_\psi^0 \cap W(\sigma),$$

11 and take  $R_{\psi, \sigma} = W_{\psi, \sigma}/W_{\psi, \sigma}^0$  as the Arthur R-group.

### 13 3. Centralizers

14 Let  $G$  be  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ . Let  $\hat{G}$  be the complex dual group  
15 of  $G$ . Let

$$17 \quad \psi : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \hat{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

18 be an A-parameter. We consider  $\psi$  as a representation. Then  $\psi$  is a direct sum  
19 of irreducible subrepresentations. Let  $\psi_0$  be an irreducible subrepresentation. For

20  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$21 \quad m\psi_0 = \underbrace{\psi_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_0}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

23 If  $\psi_0 \not\cong \tilde{\psi}_0$ , then it can be shown using the bilinear form on  $\hat{G}$  that  $\tilde{\psi}_0$  is also  
24 a subrepresentation of  $\psi$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  decomposes into a sum of irreducible  
25 subrepresentations

$$27 \quad \psi = (m_1\psi_1 \oplus m_1\tilde{\psi}_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (m_k\psi_k \oplus m_k\tilde{\psi}_k) \oplus m_{k+1}\psi_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus m_l\psi_l,$$

28 where  $\psi_i \not\cong \psi_j$ ,  $\psi_i \not\cong \tilde{\psi}_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . In addition,  $\psi_i \not\cong \tilde{\psi}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  
29  $\psi_i \cong \tilde{\psi}_i$  for  $i = k+1, \dots, l$ . If  $\psi_i \cong \tilde{\psi}_i$ , then  $\psi_i$  factors through a symplectic or  
30 orthogonal group. In this case, if  $\psi_i$  is not of the same type as  $\hat{G}$ , then  $m_i$  must be  
31 even. This follows again using the bilinear form on  $\hat{G}$ .

32 We want to compute  $S_\psi$  and  $W_\psi$ . First, we consider the case  $\psi = m\psi_0$  or  
33  $\psi = m\psi_0 \oplus m\tilde{\psi}_0$ , where  $\psi_0$  is irreducible. The following lemma is an extension of  
34 Proposition 6.5 of [Gross and Prasad 1992]. A part of the proof was communicated  
35 to us by Joe Hundley.

37 **Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ . Let*

$$38 \quad \psi_0 : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d_0, \mathbb{C})$$

39  
40 *be an irreducible parameter.*

1 (i) Suppose  $\psi_0 \not\cong \tilde{\psi}_0$  and  $\psi = m\psi_0 \oplus m\tilde{\psi}_0$ . Then  $S_\psi \cong \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$  and  $R_\psi = 1$ .

2 (ii) Suppose  $\psi_0 \cong \tilde{\psi}_0$  and  $\psi = m\psi_0$ . Suppose  $\psi_0$  is of the same type as  $\hat{G}$ . Then

$$R_\psi \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \\ 1 & \text{for } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

6 (iii) Suppose  $\psi_0 \cong \tilde{\psi}_0$  and  $\psi = m\psi_0$ . Suppose  $\psi_0$  is not of the same type as  $\hat{G}$ .  
7 Then  $m$  is even,  $S_\psi \cong \text{Sp}(m, \mathbb{C})$  and  $R_\psi = 1$ .

9 *Proof.* (i) The proof of the statement is the same as in [Gross and Prasad 1992].

10 (ii) and (iii) Suppose  $G = \text{Sp}(2n, F)$  or  $\text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$ . Let  $V$  and  $V_0$  denote the  
11 spaces of the representations  $\psi$  and  $\psi_0$ , respectively. Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the  $\psi$ -invariant  
12 bilinear form on  $V$  and by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  the  $\psi_0$ -invariant bilinear form on  $V_0$ . There exists  
13 an isomorphism  $V \rightarrow V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ . Equivalently,  $V \cong W \otimes V_0$ , where  $W$  is  
14 a finite dimensional vector space with trivial  $W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action.  
15 The space  $W$  can be identified with  $\text{Hom}_{W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}(V_0, V)$ . Then the map  
16  $W \otimes V_0 \rightarrow V$  is

$$l \otimes v \mapsto l(v), \quad l \in \text{Hom}_{W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}(V_0, V), \quad v \in V_0.$$

19 We claim there exists a nondegenerate bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  on  $W$  such that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle =$   
20  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  in the sense that

$$\langle l_1 \otimes v_1, l_2 \otimes v_2 \rangle = \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle_W \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_0 \quad \text{for all } l_1, l_2 \in W, v_1, v_2 \in V_0.$$

23 The key ingredient is Schur's lemma, or rather, the variant thereof stating that  
24 every invariant bilinear form on  $V_0$  is a scalar multiple of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ . Given any  $l_1, l_2$  in  
25  $\text{Hom}_{W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}(V_0, V)$ ,

$$\langle l_1(v_1), l_2(v_2) \rangle$$

28 is an invariant bilinear form on  $V_0$  and therefore it is equal to  $c\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ , for some  
29 constant  $c$ . We can define  $\langle l_1, l_2 \rangle_W$  by

$$\langle l_1, l_2 \rangle_W = \frac{\langle l_1(v_1), l_2(v_2) \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_0}$$

33 because Schur's lemma tells us that the right-hand side is independent of  $v_1, v_2$  in  
34  $V_0$ . This proves the claim. Observe that if  $\psi_0$  is not of the same type as  $\psi$ , the  
35 form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  is alternating, while in the case when  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi$  are of the same type,  
36 the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  is symmetric.

37 Now,  $\text{Im } \psi = \{I_m \otimes g \mid g \in \text{Im } \psi_0\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})}(\text{Im } \psi) &= \{g \otimes z \mid g \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}), z \in \{\lambda I_{d_0} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}\} \\ &= \{g \otimes I_{d_0} \mid g \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})\}. \end{aligned}$$



1 Let us denote by  ${}^{\mathfrak{W}}$  the group of matrices in  $GL(W)$  which preserve  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$ , i.e.,  
 1<sup>1/2</sup>  ${}^{\mathfrak{W}} = Sp(m, \mathbb{C})$  if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  is an alternating form and  ${}^{\mathfrak{W}} = O(m, \mathbb{C})$  if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  is a  
 3 symmetric form. Then

$$4 \quad S_{\psi} = Z_{GL(N, \mathbb{C})}(\text{Im } \psi) \cap \hat{G} = \{g \otimes I_{d_0} \mid g \in {}^{\mathfrak{W}}, \det(g \otimes I_{d_0}) = 1\}.$$

6 It follows that in case (iii) we have  $S_{\psi} \cong Sp(m, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $S_{\psi}^0 = S_{\psi}$  and  $R_{\psi} = 1$ .

7 In case (ii),  ${}^{\mathfrak{W}} = O(m, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\det(g \otimes I_{d_0}) = (\det g)^{d_0}$ , it follows

$$8 \quad S_{\psi} \cong \begin{cases} O(m, \mathbb{C}), & d_0 \text{ even,} \\ SO(m, \mathbb{C}), & d_0 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

11 In the case  $G = SO(2n+1, F)$ ,  $\psi_0$  is symplectic and  $d_0$  is even. Then  $S_{\psi} \cong O(m, \mathbb{C})$   
 12 and  $S_{\psi}^0 \cong SO(m, \mathbb{C})$ . If  $m$  is even, this implies  $R_{\psi} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . For  $m$  odd,  $W_{\psi} = W_{\psi}^0$   
 13 and  $R_{\psi} = 1$ .

15 In the case  $G = Sp(2n, F)$ , we have  $\hat{G} = SO(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$  and  $md_0 = 2n+1$ . It  
 16 follows that  $m$  and  $d_0$  are both odd. Then  $S_{\psi} \cong SO(m, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $S_{\psi}^0 = S_{\psi}$  and  $R_{\psi} = 1$ .

17 The case  $G = O(2n, F)$  is similar, but simpler, because there is no condition on  
 18 determinant. It follows that  $S_{\psi} \cong O(m, \mathbb{C})$ . This implies  $R_{\psi} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  for  $m$  even and  
 19  $R_{\psi} = 1$  for  $m$  odd. □

20 **Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be  $Sp(2n, F)$ ,  $SO(2n+1, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ . Let*

$$21 \quad \psi : W_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \hat{G}$$

23 *be an  $A$ -parameter. We can write  $\psi$  in the form*

$$24 \quad (4) \quad \psi \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^p (m_i \psi_i \oplus m_i \tilde{\psi}_i) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=p+1}^q 2m_i \psi_i \right) \\ 25 \quad \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=q+1}^r (2m_i + 1) \psi_i \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=r+1}^s 2m_i \psi_i \right),$$

31 *where  $\psi_i$  is irreducible for  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , and*

$$32 \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_i &\not\cong \psi_j, \psi_i &\not\cong \tilde{\psi}_j & \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}, i \neq j, \\ \psi_i &\not\cong \tilde{\psi}_i & \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \\ \psi_i &\cong \tilde{\psi}_i & \quad \text{for } i \in \{p+1, \dots, s\}, \\ \psi_i &\text{ not of the same type as } \hat{G} & \quad \text{for } i \in \{p+1, \dots, q\}, \\ \psi_i &\text{ of the same type as } \hat{G} & \quad \text{for } i \in \{q+1, \dots, s\}. \end{aligned}$$

39 *Let  $d = s - r$ . Then*

$$40 \quad R_{\psi} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d.$$

<sup>1</sup> *Proof.* Set  $\Psi_i = m_i \psi_i \oplus m_i \tilde{\psi}_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ , and  $\Psi_i = m_i \psi_i$  for all  $i \in$   
<sup>2</sup>  $\{p+1, \dots, s\}$ . Denote by  $Z_i$  the centralizer of the image of  $\Psi_i$  in the corresponding  
<sup>3</sup> GL. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Im} \psi) = Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_s \quad \text{and} \quad S_\psi = Z_{\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{Im} \psi) \cap \hat{G}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>4</sup> **Lemma 4** tells us the factors corresponding to  $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  do not contribute to  
<sup>5</sup>  $R_\psi$ . In addition, we can see from the proof of **Lemma 4** that these factors do not  
<sup>6</sup> appear in determinant considerations. Therefore, we can consider only the factors  
<sup>7</sup> corresponding to  $i \in \{q+1, \dots, s\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z} = Z_{q+1} \times \cdots \times Z_s$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{Z} \cap \hat{G}$ . In  
<sup>8</sup> the same way as in the proof of **Lemma 4**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S} \cong \{(g_{q+1}, \dots, g_s) \mid g_i \in O(2m_i + 1, \mathbb{C}), i \in \{q+1, \dots, r\}, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad g_i \in O(2m_i, \mathbb{C}), i \in \{r+1, \dots, s\}, \prod_{i=q+1}^s (\det g_i)^{\dim \psi_i} = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

<sup>9</sup> for  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ . For  $G = O(2n, F)$ , we omit the condition  
<sup>10</sup> on determinant. If  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$ , then for  $i \in \{q+1, \dots, s\}$ ,  $\psi_i$  is symplectic  
<sup>11</sup> and  $\dim \psi_i$  is even. Therefore, the product in (5) is always equal to 1.

<sup>12</sup> Now, for  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, F)$  and  $G = O(2n, F)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S} \cong \prod_{i=q+1}^r O(2m_i + 1, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=r+1}^s O(2m_i, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>13</sup> It follows that  $R_\psi \cong \prod_{i=q+1}^r 1 \times \prod_{i=r+1}^s \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ .

<sup>14</sup> It remains to consider  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\hat{G} = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^q 2m_i \dim \psi_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r (2m_i + 1) \dim \psi_i + \sum_{i=1}^p 2m_i \dim \psi_i = 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>15</sup> Since the total sum is odd, we must have  $r > q$  and  $\dim \psi_i$  odd, for some  $i \in$   
<sup>16</sup>  $\{q+1, \dots, r\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\dim \psi_{q+1}$  odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S} \cong \mathrm{SO}(2m_{q+1} + 1, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=q+2}^r O(2m_i + 1, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=r+1}^s O(2m_i, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>17</sup> It follows  $R_\psi \cong 1 \times \prod_{i=q+2}^r 1 \times \prod_{i=r+1}^s \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ . □

#### 4. Even orthogonal groups

<sup>18</sup> **4.1. *R*-groups for nonconnected groups.** In this section, we review some results  
<sup>19</sup> of [Goldberg and Herb 1997]. Let  $G$  be a reductive  $F$ -group. Let  $G^0$  be the  
<sup>20</sup> connected component of the identity in  $G$ . We assume that  $G/G^0$  is finite and  
<sup>21</sup> abelian.

1 Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$ . We say that  $\pi$  is discrete  
 1<sup>1/2</sup> 2 series if the matrix coefficients of  $\pi$  are square integrable modulo the center of  $G$ .  
 3 We will consider the parabolic subgroups and the  $R$ -groups as defined in [Gold-  
 4 berg and Herb 1997]. Let  $P^0 = M^0U$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G^0$ . Let  $A$  be  
 5 the split component in the center of  $M^0$ . Define  $M = C_G(A)$  and  $P = MU$ . Then  
 6  $P$  is called the cuspidal parabolic subgroup of  $G$  lying over  $P^0$ . The Lie algebra  
 7  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  can be decomposed into root spaces with respect to the roots  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ ,

$$8 \quad \mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(M) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(G)_{\alpha}.$$

10 Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $M$ . We denote by  $r_{M^0, M}(\sigma)$  the  
 11 restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $M^0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.21 of [Goldberg and Herb 1997],  $\sigma$   
 12 is discrete series if and only if any irreducible constituent of  $r_{M^0, M}(\sigma)$  is discrete  
 13 series. Now, suppose  $\sigma$  is discrete series. Let  $\sigma_0$  be an irreducible constituent of  
 14  $r_{M^0, M}(\sigma)$ . Then  $\sigma_0$  is discrete series and we have the Knapp–Stein  $R$ -group  $R(\sigma_0)$   
 15 for  $i_{G^0, M^0}(\sigma_0)$  [Knapp and Stein 1971; Silberger 1978]. We review the definition  
 16 of  $R(\sigma_0)$ . Let  $W(G^0, A) = N_{G^0}(A)/M^0$  and  $W_{G^0}(\sigma_0) = \{w \in W_G(M) \mid w\sigma_0 \cong \sigma_0\}$ .  
 17 For  $w \in W_{G^0}(\sigma_0)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}(w, \sigma_0)$  the normalized standard intertwining  
 18 operator associated to  $w$  (see [Silberger 1979]). Define

$$19 \quad W_{G^0}^0(\sigma_0) = \{w \in W_{G^0}(\sigma_0) \mid \mathcal{A}(w, \sigma_0) \text{ is a scalar}\}.$$

20 20<sup>1/2</sup> Then  $W_{G^0}^0(\sigma_0) = W(\Phi_1)$  is generated by reflections in a set  $\Phi_1$  of reduced roots of  
 21  $(G, A)$ . Let  $\Phi^+$  be the positive system of reduced roots of  $(G, A)$  determined by  
 22  $P$  and let  $\Phi_1^+ = \Phi_1 \cap \Phi^+$ . Then

$$23 \quad R(\sigma_0) = \{w \in W_{G^0}(\sigma_0) \mid w\beta \in \Phi^+ \text{ for all } \beta \in \Phi_1^+\}$$

24 and  $W_{G^0}(\sigma_0) = R(\sigma_0) \times W(\Phi_1)$ .

25 For the definition of  $R(\sigma)$ , we follow [Goldberg and Herb 1997]. Define

$$26 \quad N_G(\sigma) = \{g \in N_G(M) \mid g\sigma \cong \sigma\},$$

$$27 \quad W_G(\sigma) = N_G(\sigma)/M, \quad \text{and}$$

$$28 \quad R(\sigma) = \{w \in W_G(\sigma) \mid w\beta \in \Phi^+ \text{ for all } \beta \in \Phi_1^+\}.$$

29 For  $w \in W_G(\sigma)$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(w, \sigma)$  denote the intertwining operator on  $i_{G, M}(\sigma)$  defined  
 30 in [Goldberg and Herb 1997, page 135]. Then the  $\mathcal{A}(w, \sigma)$ ,  $w \in R(\sigma)$ , form a basis  
 31 for the algebra of intertwining operators on  $i_{G, M}(\sigma)$ , by Theorem 5.16 of [Goldberg  
 32 and Herb 1997]. In addition,  $W_G(\sigma) = R(\sigma) \times W(\Phi_1)$ . For  $w \in W_G(\sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(w, \sigma)$   
 33 is a scalar if and only if  $w \in W(\Phi_1)$ ; see [Goldberg and Herb 1997, Lemma 5.20].

34 39<sup>1/2</sup> **4.2. Even orthogonal groups.** Let  $G = O(2n, F)$  and  $G^0 = \text{SO}(2n, F)$ . Then  
 35  $\bar{G} = G^0 \rtimes \{1, s\}$ , where  $s = \text{diag}(I_{n-1}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I_{n-1})$  and it acts on  $G^0$  by conjugation.

<sup>1</sup> (a) Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{2} M^0 = \{\text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_r, h, {}^\tau g_r^{-1}, \dots, {}^\tau g_1^{-1}) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(n_i, F), h \in \text{SO}(2m, F)\} \\ & \underline{3} \cong \text{GL}(n_1, F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n_r, F) \times \text{SO}(2m, F), \\ & \underline{4} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>5</sup> where  $m > 1$  and  $n_1 + \dots + n_r + m = n$ . Then  $M^0$  is a Levi subgroup of  $G^0$ . The <sup>6</sup> split component of  $M^0$  is

$$\underline{8} A = \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, \lambda_r I_{n_r}, I_{2m}, \lambda_r^{-1} I_{n_r}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1} I_{n_1}) \mid \lambda_i \in F^\times\}.$$

<sup>9</sup> Then  $M = C_G(A)$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{11} (6) \quad M = \{\text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_r, h, {}^\tau g_r^{-1}, \dots, {}^\tau g_1^{-1}) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(n_i, F), h \in O(2m, F)\} \\ & \underline{12} \cong \text{GL}(n_1, F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n_r, F) \times O(2m, F). \\ & \underline{13} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>14</sup> Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ . Then  $\pi \cong \rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_k \otimes \sigma$ , where  $\rho_i \in \mathcal{E}_2(\text{GL}(n_i, F))$  and <sup>15</sup>  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(O(2m, F))$ . Let  $\pi_0 \cong \rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_k \otimes \sigma_0$  be an irreducible component of <sup>16</sup>  $r_{M^0, M}(\pi)$ . If  $s\sigma_0 \cong \sigma_0$ , then  $W_G(\pi) = W_{G^0}(\pi_0)$  and  $R(\pi) = R(\pi_0)$ . In this case, <sup>17</sup>  $r_{M^0, M}(\pi) = \pi_0$ , by Lemma 4.1 of [Ban and Jantzen 2003], and  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible <sup>18</sup> if and only if  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma_0$  is reducible, by Proposition 2.2 of [Goldberg 1995]. Then <sup>19</sup> Theorem 6.5 of [Goldberg 1994] tells us that  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d$  is the number <sup>20</sup> of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  with  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  reducible.

<sup>20<sup>1/2</sup></sup> Now, consider the case  $s\sigma_0 \not\cong \sigma_0$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1 of [Ban and <sup>22</sup> Jantzen 2003] that  $\pi = i_{M, M^0}(\pi_0)$ . Then  $i_{G, M}(\pi) = i_{G, M^0}(\pi_0)$  and we know from <sup>23</sup> Theorem 3.3 of [Goldberg 1995] that  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d = d_1 + d_2$ ,  $d_1$  is the <sup>24</sup> number of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  such that  $n_i$  is even and  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible, and  $d_2$  is <sup>25</sup> the number of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  such that  $n_i$  is odd and  $\rho_i \cong \tilde{\rho}_i$ . Moreover, Corollary <sup>26</sup> 3.4 of [Goldberg 1995] implies if  $n_i$  is odd and  $\rho_i \cong \tilde{\rho}_i$ , then  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible. <sup>27</sup> Therefore, we see that  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d$  is the number of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  with <sup>28</sup>  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  reducible.

<sup>29</sup> In the case  $m = 1$ , since

$$\underline{31} \text{SO}(2, F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F^\times \right\},$$

<sup>33</sup> we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{34} M^0 = \{\text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_r, a, a^{-1}, {}^\tau g_r^{-1}, \dots, {}^\tau g_1^{-1}) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(n_i, F), a \in F^\times\} \\ & \underline{35} \cong \text{GL}(n_1, F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n_r, F) \times \text{GL}(1, F), \\ & \underline{36} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>37</sup> and this case is described in (b).

<sup>38</sup> (b) Let  $M^0$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G^0$  of the form

$$\underline{40} M^0 = \{\text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_r, {}^\tau g_r^{-1}, \dots, {}^\tau g_1^{-1}) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(n_i, F)\}$$

<sup>1</sup> where  $n_1 + \dots + n_r = n$ . The split component of  $M^0$  is

$$\overset{1^{1/2}}{\underline{2}} \quad A = \{\text{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, \lambda_r I_{n_r}, \lambda_r^{-1} I_{n_r}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1} I_{n_1}) \mid \lambda_i \in F^\times\}$$

<sup>3</sup> and  $M = C_G(A) = M^0$ . Therefore,

$$\overset{5}{\underline{6}} \quad (7) \quad M = \{\text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_r, {}^\tau g_r^{-1}, \dots, {}^\tau g_1^{-1}) \mid g_i \in \text{GL}(n_i, F)\}$$

$$\overset{7}{\underline{8}} \quad \cong \text{GL}(n_1, F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n_r, F).$$

<sup>8</sup> Let  $\pi \cong \rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_k \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{C}_2(M)$ , where 1 denotes the trivial representation of  
<sup>9</sup> the trivial group. Since  $M = M^0$ , we can apply directly Theorem 3.3 of [Goldberg  
<sup>10</sup> 1995]. It follows  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d = d_1 + d_2$ ,  $d_1$  is the number of inequivalent  
<sup>11</sup>  $\rho_i$  such that  $n_i$  is even and  $\rho_i \rtimes 1$  is reducible, and  $d_2$  is the number of inequivalent  
<sup>12</sup>  $\rho_i$  such that  $n_i$  is odd and  $\rho_i \cong \tilde{\rho}_i$ . As above, it follows from Corollary 3.4 of  
<sup>13</sup> [Goldberg 1995] that if  $n_i$  is odd and  $\rho_i \cong \tilde{\rho}_i$ , then  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible. Again, we  
<sup>14</sup> obtain  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d$  is the number of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  with  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  reducible.  
<sup>15</sup> We summarize the above considerations in the following lemma. Observe that  
<sup>16</sup> the group  $O(2, F)$  does not have square integrable representations. It also does not  
<sup>17</sup> appear as a factor of cuspidal Levi subgroups of  $O(2n, F)$ . We call a subgroup  $M$   
<sup>18</sup> defined by (6) or (7) a standard Levi subgroup of  $O(2n, F)$ .

<sup>19</sup> **Lemma 6.** *Let  $G = O(2n, F)$  and consider a standard Levi subgroup of  $G$  of the*  
<sup>20</sup> *form*

$$\overset{20^{1/2}}{\underline{21}} \quad M \cong \text{GL}(n_1, F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(n_r, F) \times O(2m, F),$$

<sup>23</sup> where  $m \geq 0$ ,  $m \neq 1$ ,  $n_1 + \dots + n_r + m = n$ . Let  $\pi \cong \rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_k \otimes \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_2(M)$ .  
<sup>24</sup> Then  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ , where  $d$  is the number of inequivalent  $\rho_i$  with  $\rho_i \rtimes \sigma$  reducible.

## <sup>26</sup> 5. R-groups of discrete series

<sup>27</sup> Let  $G$  be  $\text{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  $\text{SO}(2n+1, F)$  or  $O(2n, F)$ .

<sup>28</sup> **Theorem 7.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of a standard*  
<sup>29</sup> *Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $G_n$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $L$ -parameter of  $\pi$ . Then  $R_{\varphi, \pi} \cong R(\pi)$ .*

<sup>31</sup> *Proof.* We can write  $\pi$  in the form

$$\overset{32}{\underline{33}} \quad (8) \quad \pi \cong (\otimes^{m_1} \delta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\otimes^{m_r} \delta_r) \otimes \sigma$$

<sup>34</sup> where  $\sigma$  is an irreducible discrete series representation of  $G_m$  and  $\delta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ )  
<sup>35</sup> is an irreducible discrete series representation of  $\text{GL}(n_i, F)$  such that  $\delta_i \not\cong \delta_j$  for  
<sup>36</sup>  $i \neq j$ . As explained in Section 4, if  $G_n = O(2n, F)$ , then  $m \neq 1$ .

<sup>37</sup> Let  $\varphi_i$  denote the  $L$ -parameter of  $\delta_i$  and  $\varphi_\sigma$  the  $L$ -parameter of  $\sigma$ . Then the  
<sup>38</sup>  $L$ -parameter  $\varphi$  of  $\pi$  is

$$\overset{39^{1/2}}{\underline{40}} \quad \varphi \cong (m_1 \varphi_1 \oplus m_1 \tilde{\varphi}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (m_r \varphi_r \oplus m_r \tilde{\varphi}_r) \oplus \varphi_\sigma.$$

1 Each  $\varphi_i$  is irreducible. The parameter  $\varphi_\sigma$  is of the form  $\varphi_\sigma = \varphi'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi'_s$  where  
 2  $\varphi'_i$  are irreducible,  $\varphi'_i \cong \tilde{\varphi}'_i$  and  $\varphi'_i \not\cong \varphi'_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . In addition,  $\varphi'_i$  factors through a  
 3 group of the same type as  $\hat{G}_n$ . The sets  $\{\varphi_i \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\{\varphi'_i \mid i = 1, \dots, s\}$   
 4 can have nonempty intersection. After rearranging the indices, we can write  $\varphi$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cong & \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^h (m_i \varphi_i \oplus m_i \tilde{\varphi}_i) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=h+1}^q 2m_i \varphi_i \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=q+1}^k 2m_i \varphi_i \right) \\ & \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^r (2m_i + 1) \varphi_i \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=r+1}^l \varphi_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

11 where  $\varphi_\sigma = \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^l \varphi_i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i & \not\cong \varphi_j, \quad \varphi_i \not\cong \tilde{\varphi}_j & \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \quad i \neq j, \\ \varphi_i & \not\cong \tilde{\varphi}_i & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, h\}, \\ \varphi_i & \cong \tilde{\varphi}_i & \text{for } i \in \{h+1, \dots, l\}, \\ \varphi_i & \text{not of the same type as } \hat{G} & \text{for } i \in \{h+1, \dots, q\}, \\ \varphi_i & \text{of the same type as } \hat{G} & \text{for } i \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}. \end{aligned}$$

20 Let  $d = k - q$ . Lemma 5 implies  $R_\varphi \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ . In addition,  $R_{\varphi, \pi} \cong R_\varphi$ .  
 21 On the other hand, we know that  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^c$ , where  $c$  is cardinality of the set

$$C = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid \delta_i \rtimes \sigma \text{ is reducible}\}.$$

24 This follows from [Goldberg 1994] for  $G = \text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$  and  $G = \text{Sp}(2n, F)$ ,  
 25 and from Lemma 6 for  $G = O(2n, F)$ . We want to show  $C = \{q + 1, \dots, k\}$ .  
 26 For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,  $\varphi_i$  is an irreducible representation of  $W_F \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  
 27 therefore it can be written in the form  $\varphi_i = \varphi'_i \otimes S_{a_i}$ , where  $\varphi'_i$  is an irreducible  
 28 representation of  $W_F$  and  $S_{a_i}$  is the standard irreducible  $a_i$ -dimensional algebraic  
 29 representation of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . For  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , this parameter corresponds to the  
 30 representation  $\delta(\rho_i, a_i)$ . Therefore, the representation  $\delta_i$  in (8) is  $\delta_i = \delta(\rho_i, a_i)$ .

31 From (3), we have

$$\varphi_\sigma = \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^l \varphi_i = \bigoplus_{(\rho, a) \in \text{Jord}(\sigma)} \varphi_\rho \otimes S_a.$$

36 For  $i \in \{h+1, \dots, q\}$ ,  $\varphi_i$  is not of the same type as  $\hat{G}$  and  $\delta(\rho_i, a_i) \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible.

37 For  $i \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $\varphi_i$  is of the same type as  $\hat{G}$ . Now, Lemma 3 tells us  $(\rho_i, a_i) \in$

38  $\text{Jord}(\sigma)$  if and only if  $\delta(\rho_i, a_i) \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible. Therefore,  $\delta(\rho_i, a_i) \rtimes \sigma$  is

39 irreducible for  $i \in \{k+1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\delta(\rho_i, a_i) \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible for  $i \in \{q+1, \dots, k\}$ .

40 It follows  $C = \{q + 1, \dots, k\}$  and  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^d \cong R_{\varphi, \pi}$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$

## 6. Unitary groups

1  
2 Let  $E/F$  be a quadratic extension of  $p$ -adic fields. Fix  $\theta \in W_F \setminus W_E$ . Let  $G = U(n)$   
3 be a unitary group defined with respect to  $E/F$ ,  $U(n) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, E)$ . Let

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ & \cdot & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 We have

$${}^L G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F,$$

10 where  $W_E$  acts trivially on  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and the action of  $w \in W_F \setminus W_E$  on  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$   
11 is given by  $w(g) = J_n {}^t g^{-1} J_n^{-1}$ .

12 **6.1.  $L$ -parameters for Levi subgroups.** Suppose we have a Levi subgroup  $M \cong$   
13  $\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} \mathrm{GL}_k \times U(l)$ . Then

$${}^L M^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s & \\ & m \\ & & h \end{pmatrix} \mid g, h \in \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}), m \in \mathrm{GL}(l, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

14  
15 Direct computation shows that the action of  $w \in W_F \setminus W_E$  on  ${}^L M^0$  is given by

$$w \left( \begin{pmatrix} s & \\ & m \\ & & h \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} J_k {}^t h^{-1} J_k^{-1} & & \\ & J_l {}^t m^{-1} J_l^{-1} & \\ & & J_k {}^t g^{-1} J_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

16  
17 Let  $\pi$  be a discrete series representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(k, E) = (\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} \mathrm{GL}_k)(F)$  and  
18  $\tau$  a discrete series representation of  $U(l)$ . Let  $\varphi_\pi : W_E \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$  be  
19 the  $L$ -parameter of  $\pi$  and  $\varphi_\tau : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(l, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F$  the  $L$ -parameter  
20 of  $\tau$ . Write

$$\varphi_\tau(w, x) = (\varphi'_\tau(w, x), w), \quad w \in W_F, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

21  
22 According to [Borel 1979, Sections 4, 5 and 8], there exists a unique (up to  
23 equivalence)  $L$ -parameter  $\varphi : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L M$  such that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi((w, x)) &= (\varphi_\pi(w), *, *, w) && \text{for all } w \in W_E, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \\ \varphi((w, x)) &= (*, \varphi'_\tau(w, x), *, w) && \text{for all } w \in W_F, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

24  
25 We will define a map  $\varphi : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L M$  satisfying (9) and show that  $\varphi$  is  
26 a homomorphism. Define

$$(10) \quad \varphi((w, x)) = (\varphi_\pi(w, x), \varphi'_\tau(w, x), {}^t \varphi_\pi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x)^{-1}, w),$$

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  $w \in W_E, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> and

$$\varphi((\theta, 1)) = (J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, \theta).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\tau(\theta^2, 1) &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), \theta)(\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), \theta) \\ &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), 1)(J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} J_l^{-1}, \theta^2) \\ &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1) J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} J_l^{-1}, \theta^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(11) \quad \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1) J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} J_l^{-1} = \varphi'_\tau(\theta^2, 1).$$

Similarly, for  $w \in W_E, x \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\tau(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x) &= \varphi_\tau(\theta, 1) \varphi_\tau(w, x) \varphi_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} \\ &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), \theta)(\varphi'_\tau(w, x), w)(1, \theta^{-1})(\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1}, 1) \\ &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), 1)(J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(w, x)^{-1} J_l^{-1}, \theta w \theta^{-1})(\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1}, 1) \\ &= (\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1) J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(w, x)^{-1} J_l^{-1} \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1}, \theta w \theta^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(12) \quad \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1) J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(w, x)^{-1} J_l^{-1} \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} = \varphi'_\tau(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\theta, 1) \varphi(\theta, 1) \\ &= (J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, \theta)(J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, \theta) \\ &= (J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, 1)(J_k \varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1), J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1} J_l^{-1}, J_k^{-1}, \theta^2) \\ &= (\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1), \varphi'_\tau(\theta^2, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1}, \theta^2) = \varphi(\theta^2, 1), \end{aligned}$$

using (11) and (10). Further, for  $w \in W_E, x \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\theta, 1) \varphi(w, x) \varphi(\theta, 1)^{-1} \\ &= (J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, \theta)(\varphi_\pi(w, x), \varphi'_\tau(w, x), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x)^{-1}, w) \\ &\quad \cdot (1, 1, 1, \theta^{-1})(J_k, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1}, J_k^{-1} {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1), 1) \\ &= (J_k^{-1}, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k, 1) \\ &\quad \cdot (J_k \varphi_\pi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x) J_k^{-1}, J_l {}^t\varphi'_\tau(w, x)^{-1} J_l^{-1}, J_k {}^t\varphi_\pi(w, x)^{-1} J_k^{-1}, \theta w \theta^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (J_k, \varphi'_\tau(\theta, 1)^{-1}, J_k^{-1} {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1), 1) \\ &= (\varphi_\pi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x), \varphi'_\tau(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x), {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2 w \theta^{-2}, x)^{-1}, \theta w \theta^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x). \end{aligned}$$



1 Here, we use (12) and  $J_k^2 = (J_k^{-1})^2 = (-1)^{k-1}$ , so

$$2 \quad {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1)^{-1} J_k J_k {}^t\varphi_\pi(w, x)^{-1} J_k^{-1} J_k^{-1} {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2, 1) = {}^t\varphi_\pi(\theta^2 w \theta^{-2}, x)^{-1}.$$

3  
4 In conclusion,  $\varphi(\theta^2, 1) = \varphi(\theta, 1)^2$  and  $\varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x) = \varphi(\theta, 1) \varphi(w, x) \varphi(\theta, 1)^{-1}$ .

5 Since  $\varphi$  is clearly multiplicative on  $W_E \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  is a homo-  
6 morphism. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is the  $L$ -parameter for  $\pi \otimes \tau$ .

7 **6.2. The coefficients  $\lambda_\varphi$ .** Let  $\varphi : W_E \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible  
8  $L$ -parameter. Assume  $\varphi \cong {}^t(\theta \varphi)^{-1}$ . Let  $X$  be a nonzero matrix such that

$$9 \quad {}^t\varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x)^{-1} = X^{-1} \varphi(w, x) X,$$

10  
11 for all  $w \in W_E, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We proceed similarly as in [Mœglin 2002, p. 190].

12 By taking transpose and inverse,

$$13 \quad \varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x) = {}^t X {}^t \varphi(w, x)^{-1} {}^t X^{-1}.$$

14  
15 Next, we replace  $w$  by  $\theta w \theta^{-1}$ . This gives

$$16 \quad \varphi(\theta^2, 1) \varphi(w, x) \varphi(\theta^{-2}, 1) = {}^t X {}^t \varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x)^{-1} {}^t X^{-1} = {}^t X X^{-1} \varphi(w, x) X {}^t X^{-1},$$

17  
18 for all  $w \in W_E, x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\varphi$  is irreducible,  $\varphi(\theta^{-2}, 1) {}^t X X^{-1}$  is a constant.

19 Define

$$20 \quad (13) \quad \lambda_\varphi = \varphi(\theta^{-2}, 1) {}^t X X^{-1}.$$

21  
22 As in [Mœglin 2002], we can show that  $\lambda_\varphi = \pm 1$ .

23  
24 **Lemma 8.** Let  $\varphi : W_E \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible  $L$ -parameter such that  $\varphi \cong$   
25  ${}^t(\theta \varphi)^{-1}$ . Let  $S_a$  be the standard  $a$ -dimensional irreducible algebraic representation  
26 of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  ${}^\theta({}^t(\varphi \otimes S_a)^{-1}) \cong \varphi \otimes S_a$  and

$$27 \quad \lambda_{\varphi \otimes S_a} = (-1)^{a+1} \lambda_\varphi.$$

28  
29 *Proof.* We know that  ${}^t S_a^{-1} \cong S_a$ . Let  $Y$  be a nonzero matrix such that

$$30 \quad {}^t S_a(x)^{-1} = Y^{-1} S_a(x) Y,$$

31  
32 for all  $x \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  ${}^t Y = Y$  for  $a$  odd and  ${}^t Y = -Y$  for  $a$  even. Let  $X$  be a  
33 nonzero matrix such that

$$34 \quad {}^t\varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1})^{-1} = X^{-1} \varphi(w) X,$$

35  
36 for all  $w \in W_E$ . We have

$$37 \quad {}^t(\varphi \otimes S_a(\theta w \theta^{-1}, x))^{-1} = ({}^t\varphi(\theta w \theta^{-1})^{-1}) \otimes ({}^t S_a(x)^{-1})$$

$$38 \quad = (X^{-1} \varphi(w) X) \otimes (Y^{-1} S_a(x) Y)$$

$$39 \quad = (X \otimes Y)^{-1} (\varphi \otimes S_a(w, x)) \otimes (X \otimes Y).$$

1 It follows that  ${}^\theta({}^t(\varphi \otimes S_a)^{-1}) \cong \varphi \otimes S_a$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \lambda_{\varphi \otimes S_a} &= (\varphi \otimes S_a(\theta^{-2}, 1)) {}^t(X \otimes Y)(X \otimes Y)^{-1} \\ 3 &= (\varphi(\theta^{-2}) {}^tX X^{-1}) \otimes ({}^tY Y^{-1}) = (-1)^{a+1} \lambda_\varphi. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5 **6.3. Centralizers.** Let  $\varphi : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G$  be an  $L$ -parameter. Denote by  $\varphi_E$   
6 the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $W_E \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\varphi_E$  is a representation of  $W_E \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$   
7 on  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Write  $\varphi_E$  as a sum of irreducible subrepresentations

$$9 \varphi_E = m_1 \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_l \varphi_l,$$

10 where  $m_i$  is the multiplicity of  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_i \not\cong \varphi_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . It follows from [Mœglin  
11 2002] that  $S_\varphi$ , the centralizer in  $\hat{G}$  of the image of  $\varphi$ , is given by

$$14 (14) \quad S_\varphi \cong \prod_{i=1}^l C(m_i \varphi_i),$$

16 where

$$17 C(m_i \varphi_i) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{GL}(m_i, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } \varphi_i \not\cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_i, \\ 18 \mathrm{O}(m_i, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } \varphi_i \cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_i, \lambda_{\varphi_i} = (-1)^{n-1}, \\ 19 \mathrm{Sp}(m_i, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } \varphi_i \cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_i, \lambda_{\varphi_i} = (-1)^n. \end{cases}$$

20 **6.4. Coefficients  $\lambda_\rho$ .** Let  ${}^L M = \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F$ , where the action of  
21  $w \in W_F \setminus W_E$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$23 w(g, h, 1)w^{-1} = (J_n {}^t h^{-1} J_n^{-1}, J_n {}^t g^{-1} J_n^{-1}, 1).$$

25 For  $\eta = \pm 1$ , we denote by  $R_\eta$  the representation of  ${}^L M$  on  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^k)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} 26 R_\eta((g, h, 1)) \cdot X &= g X h^{-1}, \\ 27 R_\eta((1, 1, \theta)) \cdot X &= \eta J_k {}^t X J_k. \end{aligned}$$

29 Let  $\tau$  denote the nontrivial element in  $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ . Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible unitary  
30 supercuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(k, E)$ . Assume  $\rho \cong {}^\tau \tilde{\rho}$ . Then precisely one of  
31 the two  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \rho, R_1)$  and  $L(s, \rho, R_{-1})$  has a pole at  $s = 0$ . Denote by  
32  $\lambda_\rho$  the value of  $\eta$  such that  $L(s, \rho, R_\eta)$  has a pole at  $s = 0$ .

34 **Lemma 9.** Assume that  $\rho$  is an irreducible unitary supercuspidal representation  
35 of  $\mathrm{GL}(k, E)$  such that  $\rho \cong {}^\tau \tilde{\rho}$ . Let  $\varphi_\rho$  be the  $L$ -parameter of  $\rho$ . Then  $\lambda_{\varphi_\rho} = \lambda_\rho$ .

36 *Proof.* As shown in Section 6.1, the parameter  $\varphi : W_F \rightarrow {}^L M$  corresponding to  
37  $\varphi_\rho : W_E \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$39 (15) \quad \varphi(w) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \varphi_\rho(w) \\ {}^t \varphi_\rho(\theta w \theta^{-1})^{-1} \end{array} \right), w \right),$$

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> for  $w \in W_E$ , and

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{2} \\ \underline{3} \text{ (16)} \\ \underline{4} \end{array} \quad \varphi(\theta) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} J_k^{-1} \\ {}^t\varphi_\rho(\theta^2)^{-1} J_k \end{array} \right), \theta \right).$$

<sup>5</sup> From [Henriart 2010], we have  $L(s, \rho, R_\eta) = L(s, R_\eta \circ \varphi)$ . Therefore,  $L(s, R_{\lambda_\rho} \circ \varphi)$   
<sup>6</sup> has a pole at  $s = 0$ . Then  $R_{\lambda_\rho} \circ \varphi$  contains the trivial representation, so there exists  
<sup>7</sup> nonzero  $X \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $(R_{\lambda_\rho} \circ \varphi)(w) \cdot X = X$  for all  $w \in W_F$ . In particular,  
<sup>8</sup> (15) implies that for  $w \in W_E$ ,

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{9} \\ \underline{10} \end{array} \quad \varphi_\rho(w) X {}^t\varphi_\rho(\theta w \theta^{-1}) = X$$

<sup>11</sup> so

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{12} \\ \underline{13} \text{ (17)} \\ \underline{14} \end{array} \quad \varphi_\rho(w) X = X {}^t\varphi_\rho(\theta w \theta^{-1})^{-1}.$$

<sup>15</sup> Therefore,  $X$  is a nonzero intertwining operator between  $\varphi_\rho$  and  ${}^t(\theta\varphi_\rho)^{-1}$ . From  
<sup>16</sup> (13), we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{17} \\ \underline{18} \text{ (18)} \\ \underline{19} \end{array} \quad \varphi_\rho(\theta^{-2}) {}^t X X^{-1} = \lambda_{\varphi_\rho}.$$

<sup>20</sup> Now, since  $(R_{\lambda_\rho} \circ \varphi)(\theta) \cdot X = X$ , we have from (16)

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{20^{1/2}} \\ \underline{21} \\ \underline{22} \end{array} \quad {}^t X {}^t\varphi_\rho(\theta^2) = \lambda_\rho X.$$

<sup>23</sup> By transposing and multiplying by  $X^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{24} \\ \underline{25} \\ \underline{26} \end{array} \quad \varphi_\rho(\theta^2) = \lambda_\rho {}^t X X^{-1}.$$

<sup>27</sup> We compare this to (18). It follows  $\lambda_{\varphi_\rho} = \lambda_\rho$ . □

<sup>28</sup> **6.5. Jordan blocks for unitary groups.** For the unitary group  $U(n)$ , define

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{29} \\ \underline{30} \\ \underline{31} \end{array} \quad R_d = R_\eta, \quad \text{where } \eta = (-1)^n.$$

<sup>32</sup> Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of  $U(n)$ . Denote by  $Jord(\sigma)$   
<sup>33</sup> the set of pairs  $(\rho, a)$ , where  $\rho \in {}^0\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}(d_\rho, E))$ ,  $\rho \cong {}^\tau \tilde{\rho}$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , such that  
<sup>34</sup>  $(\rho, a)$  satisfies properties (J-1) and (J-2) from Section 2.2.

<sup>35</sup> **Lemma 10.** *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(d, E)$   
<sup>36</sup> such that  $\varphi_\rho \cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_\rho$ , where  $\varphi_\rho$  is the L-parameter for  $\rho$ . Then the condition (J-1)  
<sup>37</sup> is equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{38} \\ \underline{39} \\ \underline{39^{1/2}} \end{array} \quad \text{(J-1'')} \quad \lambda_{\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a} = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

<sup>1</sup> *Proof.* The condition (J-1) says that  $a$  is even if  $L(s, \rho, R_d)$  has a pole at  $s = 0$  and  
<sup>2</sup> odd otherwise. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{3} \quad L(s, \rho, R_d) \text{ has a pole at } s = 0 &\iff \lambda_{\varphi_\rho} = (-1)^n \\ \text{4} \quad &\iff \lambda_{\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a} = (-1)^n (-1)^{a+1} \\ \text{5} \quad &\iff \lambda_{\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+1} & a \text{ even,} \\ (-1)^n & a \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>8</sup> From this, it is clear that (J-1) is equivalent to (J-1''). □

<sup>10</sup> **6.6.  $R$ -groups for unitary groups.**

<sup>12</sup> **Lemma 11.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible discrete series representation of  $U(n)$  and let*  
<sup>13</sup>  $\delta = \delta(\rho, a)$  *be an irreducible discrete series representation of  $GL(l, E)$ ,  $l = da$ ,*  
<sup>14</sup>  $d = \dim(\rho)$ . *Let  $\varphi_\rho$  and  $\varphi$  be the  $L$ -parameters of  $\rho$  and  $\pi = \delta \otimes \sigma$ , respectively.*  
<sup>15</sup> *Then  $R_{\varphi, \pi} \cong R(\pi)$ .*

<sup>16</sup> *Proof.* Let  $\varphi_\sigma$  be the  $L$ -parameter of  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\text{17} \quad \varphi_E \cong \varphi_\rho \otimes S_a \oplus {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_\rho \otimes S_a \oplus (\varphi_\sigma)_E.$$

<sup>20</sup> This is a representation of  $W_E \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $V = \mathbb{C}^{n+2l}$ . Write  $(\varphi_\sigma)_E$  as a sum of  
<sup>21</sup> irreducible components,

$$\text{22} \quad (\varphi_\sigma)_E = \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_m.$$

<sup>24</sup> Each component appears with multiplicity one. The centralizer  $S_\varphi$  is given by (14).

<sup>25</sup> If  $\varphi_\rho \not\cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_\rho$ , then

$$\text{27} \quad S_\varphi \cong GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^m GL(1, \mathbb{C}).$$

<sup>30</sup> This implies  $R_\varphi = 1$ . On the other hand,  $\delta \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible, so  $R(\pi) = 1$ . It  
<sup>31</sup> follows  $R_{\varphi, \pi} \cong R(\pi)$ .

<sup>32</sup> Now, consider the case  $\varphi_\rho \cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_\rho$ . If  $\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a \in \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ , then

$$\text{34} \quad S_\varphi \cong O(3, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_\varphi^0 \cong SO(3, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} GL(1, \mathbb{C}).$$

<sup>37</sup> This gives  $W_\varphi = W_\varphi^0$  and  $R_\varphi = 1$ . Since  $\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a \in \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ , the condition  
<sup>38</sup> (J-2) implies that  $\delta \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible. Therefore,  $R(\pi) = 1 = R_{\varphi, \pi}$ .

<sup>39</sup> It remains to consider the case  $\varphi_\rho \cong {}^\theta \tilde{\varphi}_\rho$  and  $\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a \notin \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ . Then  
<sup>40</sup>  $(\rho, a)$  does not satisfy (J-1'') or (J-2). Assume first that  $(\rho, a)$  does not satisfy

<sup>1</sup> (J-1''). Then  $\delta \rtimes \sigma$  is irreducible, so  $R(\pi) = 1$ . Since  $(\rho, a)$  does not satisfy (J-1''),  
<sup>1/2</sup> we have  $\lambda_{\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a} = (-1)^n = (-1)^{n+2l}$ . Then, by (14),

$$S_\varphi \cong \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}).$$

<sup>3</sup>  
<sup>4</sup>  
<sup>5</sup>  
<sup>6</sup> It follows  $R_{\varphi, \pi} = 1 = R(\pi)$ .

<sup>7</sup> Now, assume that  $(\rho, a)$  satisfies (J-1''), but does not satisfy (J-2). Then  $\lambda_{\varphi_\rho \otimes S_a} =$

<sup>8</sup>  $(-1)^{n-1} = (-1)^{n+2l-1}$ , so

<sup>9</sup>

$$S_\varphi \cong O(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$$

<sup>10</sup>  
<sup>11</sup>  
<sup>12</sup> and  $R_{\varphi, \pi} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Since  $(\rho, a)$  does not satisfy (J-2),  $\delta \rtimes \sigma$  is reducible and hence

<sup>13</sup>  $R(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong R_{\varphi, \pi}$ . □

<sup>14</sup>

<sup>15</sup>

### Acknowledgments

<sup>16</sup>  
<sup>17</sup> We thank Guy Henniart, Joe Hundley and Freydoon Shahidi for valuable com-  
<sup>18</sup> ments. Ban thanks Werner Müller and the Mathematical Institute of the University

<sup>19</sup> of Bonn for their hospitality during her three-month research stay, where a part of  
<sup>20</sup> this work was done.

<sup>20<sup>1/2</sup></sup>

<sup>21</sup>

<sup>22</sup>

### References

<sup>23</sup>

<sup>24</sup> [Arthur 1989] J. Arthur, “Unipotent automorphic representations: conjectures”, pp. 13–71 in *Orbites*  
<sup>25</sup> *unipotentes et représentations, II: Groupes  $p$ -adiques et réels*, Astérisque **171-172**, Soc. Math.  
 France, Paris, 1989. [MR 91f:22030](#) [Zbl 0728.22014](#)

<sup>26</sup> [Arthur 1993] J. Arthur, “On elliptic tempered characters”, *Acta Math.* **171**:1 (1993), 73–138. [MR 94i:](#)  
<sup>27</sup> [22038](#) [Zbl 0822.22011](#)

<sup>28</sup> [Arthur 2011] J. Arthur, “The endoscopic classification of representations: orthogonal and symplec-  
<sup>29</sup> tic groups”, preprint, University of Toronto, 2011, available at [http://www.claymath.org/cw/arthur/](http://www.claymath.org/cw/arthur/pdf/Book.pdf)  
<sup>30</sup> [pdf/Book.pdf](http://www.claymath.org/cw/arthur/pdf/Book.pdf).

<sup>31</sup> [Ban and Jantzen 2003] D. Ban and C. Jantzen, “Degenerate principal series for even-orthogonal  
<sup>32</sup> groups”, *Represent. Theory* **7** (2003), 440–480. [MR 2004k:22020](#) [Zbl 1054.22015](#)

<sup>33</sup> [Ban and Zhang 2005] D. Ban and Y. Zhang, “Arthur  $R$ -groups, classical  $R$ -groups, and Aubert invo-  
<sup>34</sup> lutions for  $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ ”, *Compos. Math.* **141**:2 (2005), 323–343. [MR 2006d:22019](#) [Zbl 1131.11033](#)

<sup>35</sup> [Borel 1979] A. Borel, “Automorphic  $L$ -functions”, pp. 27–61 in *Automorphic forms, represen-*  
<sup>36</sup> *tations and  $L$ -functions, 2* (Corvallis, OR, 1977), edited by A. Borel and W. Casselman, Proc.  
 Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. [MR 81m:10056](#) [Zbl 0412.10017](#)

<sup>37</sup> [Goldberg 1994] D. Goldberg, “Reducibility of induced representations for  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ ”,  
<sup>38</sup> *Amer. J. Math.* **116**:5 (1994), 1101–1151. [MR 95g:22016](#) [Zbl 0851.22021](#)

<sup>39<sup>1/2</sup></sup> [Goldberg 1995] D. Goldberg, “Reducibility for non-connected  $p$ -adic groups, with  $G^\circ$  of prime  
<sup>40</sup> index”, *Canad. J. Math.* **47**:2 (1995), 344–363. [MR 96d:22003](#) [Zbl 0835.22015](#)

- 1 [Goldberg 2011] D. Goldberg, “On dual  $R$ -groups for classical groups”, pp. 159–185 in *On certain*  
 $1^{1/2}$  2  $L$ -functions, edited by J. Arthur et al., Clay Math. Proc. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI,  
3 2011. MR 2767516 Zbl 05932913
- 4 [Goldberg and Herb 1997] D. Goldberg and R. Herb, “Some results on the admissible represen-  
5  $tations of non-connected reductive  $p$ -adic groups”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **30**:1 (1997),  
6 97–146. MR 98b:22033 Zbl 0874.22016$
- 7 [Gross and Prasad 1992] B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, “On the decomposition of a representation  
8 of  $SO_n$  when restricted to  $SO_{n-1}$ ”, *Canad. J. Math.* **44**:5 (1992), 974–1002. MR 93j:22031  
9 Zbl 0787.22018
- 10 [Harris and Taylor 2001] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple*  
11 *Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **151**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ,  
12 2001. MR 2002m:11050 Zbl 1036.11027
- 13 [Henniart 2000] G. Henniart, “Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour  $GL(n)$  sur un  
14 corps  $p$ -adique”, *Invent. Math.* **139**:2 (2000), 439–455. MR 2001e:11052 Zbl 1048.11092
- 15 [Henniart 2010] G. Henniart, “Correspondance de Langlands et fonctions  $L$  des carrés extérieur et  
16 symétrique”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2010**:4 (2010), 633–673. MR 2011c:22028 Zbl 1184.22009
- 17 [Keys 1987] C. D. Keys, “ $L$ -indistinguishability and  $R$ -groups for quasisplit groups: unitary groups  
18 in even dimension”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **20**:1 (1987), 31–64. MR 88m:22042 Zbl 0634.  
19 22014
- 20 [Knapp and Stein 1971] A. W. Knap and E. M. Stein, “Intertwining operators for semisimple  
21 groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **93**:3 (1971), 489–578. MR 57 #536 Zbl 0257.22015
- $20^{1/2}$  22 [Mœglin 2002] C. Mœglin, “Sur la classification des séries discrètes des groupes classiques  $p$ -  
23 adiques: paramètres de Langlands et exhaustivité”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **4**:2 (2002), 143–200. MR 2003g:  
24 22021 Zbl 1002.22009
- 25 [Mœglin 2007a] C. Mœglin, “Classification des séries discrètes pour certains groupes classiques  
26  $p$ -adiques”, pp. 209–245 in *Harmonic analysis, group representations, automorphic forms and*  
27 *invariant theory*, edited by J.-S. Li et al., Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap. **12**,  
28 World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2007. MR 2009i:22023
- 29 [Mœglin 2007b] C. Mœglin, “Classification et changement de base pour les séries discrètes des  
30 groupes unitaires  $p$ -adiques”, *Pacific J. Math.* **233**:1 (2007), 159–204. MR 2009d:22022 Zbl 1157.  
31 22010
- 32 [Mœglin and Tadić 2002] C. Mœglin and M. Tadić, “Construction of discrete series for classical  
33  $p$ -adic groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**:3 (2002), 715–786. MR 2003g:22020 Zbl 0992.22015
- 34 [Shahidi 1981] F. Shahidi, “On certain  $L$ -functions”, *Amer. J. Math.* **103**:2 (1981), 297–355. MR 82i:  
35 10030 Zbl 0467.12013
- 36 [Shahidi 1990] F. Shahidi, “A proof of Langlands’ conjecture on Plancherel measures; comple-  
37 mentary series for  $p$ -adic groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **132**:2 (1990), 273–330. MR 91m:11095  
38 Zbl 0780.22005
- 39 [Shelstad 1982] D. Shelstad, “ $L$ -indistinguishability for real groups”, *Math. Ann.* **259**:3 (1982), 385–  
40 430. MR 84c:22017 Zbl 0506.22014
- 41 [Silberger 1978] A. J. Silberger, “The Knapp–Stein dimension theorem for  $p$ -adic groups”, *Proc.*  
42 *Amer. Math. Soc.* **68**:2 (1978), 243–246. MR 58 #11245 Zbl 0348.22007
- $39^{1/2}$  43 [Silberger 1979] A. J. Silberger, *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups*,  
44 *Math. Notes* **23**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1979. MR 81m:22025 Zbl 0458.22006

1 [Zelevinsky 1980] A. V. Zelevinsky, “Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups, II: On ir-  
 2 reducible representations of  $GL(n)$ ”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **13**:2 (1980), 165–210. MR 83g:  
 3 22012 Zbl 0441.22014

4 Received February 25, 2011. Revised August 30, 2011.

5 DUBRAVKA BAN  
 6 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 7 SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
 8 CARBONDALE, IL 62901  
 9 UNITED STATES  
 10 [dban@math.siu.edu](mailto:dban@math.siu.edu)

11 DAVID GOLDBERG  
 12 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 13 PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
 14 WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-1395  
 15 UNITED STATES  
 16 [goldberg@math.purdue.edu](mailto:goldberg@math.purdue.edu)

20<sup>1/2</sup>

39<sup>1/2</sup>

---