

#### **Commission on Accreditation (COA) Department of Social Work Accreditation (DOSWA)** *Baccalaureate and Master's Social Work Program Accreditation*

# **2022 EPAS**

### Form AS B5.0.1(d) | Public Reporting of Assessment Outcomes

## Southern Illinois University – Carbondale BSSW Program

	Instrument	Instrument:	Competency:	
Competency		Expected Level of	Expected Level	
		Achievement for	of Achievement	
		Instrument	for Competency	
<b>Competency 1:</b> Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	80%	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 2:</b> Advance Human Rights and Social, Racial, Economic, and Environmental Justice	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	80%	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 3:</b> Engage Anti- Racism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ADEI) in Practice	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items		
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 4:</b> Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	- 80%	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item		

### **Generalist Practice | Summary of Plan**

		Instrument:	Competency:	
Competency	Instrument	Expected Level of	Expected Level	
	Instrument	Achievement for	of Achievement	
		Instrument	for Competency	
<b>Competency 5:</b> Engage in Policy Practice	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	- 80%	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	0070	
<b>Competency 6:</b> Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	2007	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 7:</b> Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items		
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 8:</b> Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items	2007	
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	
<b>Competency 9:</b> Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	Instrument 1: Field Practicum Evaluation	3 of 4 points average on the combined individual criterion items		
	Instrument 2: Course- embedded Capstone Assignment	3 of 4 points on each rubric item	80%	

# **Generalist Practice | Summary of Outcomes**

#### Assessment Data Collected on: Fall 2021 and Spring 2022

Competency	<b>Competency:</b> Expected Level of Achievement Inclusive of All Instruments	Aggregate Actual Outcomes: All Program Options (only one program option)
<b>Competency 1:</b> Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	59.6% (n = 14.8)
<b>Competency 2:</b> Advance Human Rights and Social, Racial, Economic, and Environmental Justice	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	94.4% (n = 20.9)
<b>Competency 3:</b> Engage Anti- Racism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ADEI) in Practice	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	91.2% (n = 20.7)
<b>Competency 4:</b> Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	75.6% (n = 15.5)
<b>Competency 5:</b> Engage in Policy Practice	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	75.3% (n = 17.5)
<b>Competency 6:</b> Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	82.6% (n = 21.3)
<b>Competency 7:</b> Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	67.7% (n = 17.5)
<b>Competency 8:</b> Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	74.7% (n = 19.7)
<b>Competency 9:</b> Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	<b>80%</b> of students will demonstrate a competence score of 3 or higher	92.7% (n = 19)

*Note*: Items were measured on a four-point Likert-type scale; 1 = Little to No Competency, 2 = Beginning Competency, 3 = Moderate Competency, 4 = Accomplished Competency

For the field measure, percentages are calculated based on the BSSW Field Evaluation Form that internship supervisors complete for each student.

Sample sizes in each box are the number of students that passed the benchmark of scoring a 3 or higher on the rated assignment.

Total sample sizes varied based on missing data and N/A responses; therefore, total sample sizes were not reported for ease of reading the report.

To reduce instructor and supervisor bias and differences, class competencies and field placement evaluation scores are combined by averaging scores together. Therefore, sample sizes may not be a whole number.